UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,439	06/10/2005	David Cheng	45259.00002.UTL1	3890
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 875 15th Street, NW			EXAMINER	
			MIRZA, ADNAN M	
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/538,439	CHENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ADNAN M. MIRZA	2445				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/06/2006;08/07/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/538,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 2445

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al

(U.S. 5941,996) and further in view of Walbeck et al. (U.S. 7,401,120).

As per claims 1,7,14 Smith disclosed a system for tracking and managing data over a computer

network including a plurality of application computers each operating an computer software

application program, the system comprising: a key master; a system startup module connected to

the key master; a gatekeeper connected to the system startup module; a task manager connected

to the key master and the gatekeeper; a central database connected to the gatekeeper (col. 3, lines

34-49); a plurality of agents connected to the task manager; each of a plurality of sub-agents

independently connected to each of the plurality of agents and each of the plurality of application

computers (Col. 3, lines 51-61),

However Smith did not disclose in detail an alert dispatcher connected to the system startup

module and the gatekeeper.

Art Unit: 2445

In the same field of endeavor Walbeck disclosed, "The event handler 310 and the rules engine 314 are responsible for activities that occur as a result of state changes occurring in client nodes. The rules engine 314 interprets rules associated with a state change, and is responsible for scheduling notifications or CAL send requests that might be triggered as a result of the rules evaluation. The rules engine 314 works with the node database 308 and the event handler 310. The event handler 310 processes event queues and performs event notification. These event notifications include internal notifications to other components of the gateway 300 and external notifications to applications 302 that have registered to receive event notifications (col. 7, lines 22-33).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated The event handler 310 and the rules engine 314 are responsible for activities that occur as a result of state changes occurring in client nodes. The rules engine 314 interprets rules associated with a state change, and is responsible for scheduling notifications or CAL send requests that might be triggered as a result of the rules evaluation. The rules engine 314 works with the node database 308 and the event handler 310. The event handler 310 processes event queues and performs event notification. These event notifications include internal notifications to other components of the gateway 300 and external notifications to applications 302 that have registered to receive event notifications as taught by Walbeck in the method and system Smith to simplify the reporting of the events and alert/notifications regarding different services and applications.

2. As per claims 2,9,16 Smith-Walbeck disclosed wherein the alert dispatcher provides an alert comprising an email message (Walbeck, col. 8, lines 65-67 & col. 9, lines 1-2).

Page 4

- 3. As per claims 3,10,17 Smith-Walbeck disclosed wherein the alert dispatcher provides an alert comprising an electronic instant message (Walbeck, col. 11, lines 8-19).
- 4. As per claims 4,11,18 Smith-Walbeck disclosed wherein the alert dispatcher provides an alert comprising a paging message (Walbeck, col. 14, lines 8-17).
- 5 As per claim 5 Smith-Wallbeck disclosed wherein the system uses a Linux operating system (Walbeck, col. 17, lines 6-16).
- 6. As per claims 6,19 Smith-Wallbeck disclosed wherein the central database comprises a plurality of independent databases (Smith, col. 9, lines 19-25).
- 7. As per claims 8,15 Smith-Wallbeck disclosed wherein the method further comprises the step of alerting an operator with an alert notification of a shutdown of the one of the plurality of application computers (Walbeck, col. 7, lines 22-33).
- 8. As per claims 12,20 Smith-Wallbeck disclosed wherein the method comprises the steps of: retrieving the message; and viewing the message (Walbeck, col. 7, lines 22-33).

Application/Control Number: 10/538,439 Page 5

Art Unit: 2445

9. As per claims 13,21 Smith-Wallbeck disclosed wherein the lowest common format comprises TCP/IP, FTP, or SNA (Walbeck, col. 17, lines 6-16).

Conclusion

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.
- 11. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton B Burgess can be reached on (571)-272-3949. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for un published applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/538,439

Art Unit: 2445

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Adnan M Mirza/

Examiner, Art Unit 2445

/Larry D Donaghue/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454

Page 6